




Dred Scott v. Sandford: Opinions and Contemporary Commentary

By: Douglas W. Lind

Law Library Director and Professor of Law, Southern Illinois University School of Law

- First resource of its kind
- The only source that contains the nine opinions of the Dred Scott decision alongside the contemporary scholarly commentary
- Bibliographically describes the printing and transmission history of the decision through separate printings



About Dred Scott v. Sandford: Opinions and Contemporary Commentary

The decision in *Dred Scott v. Sandford* (1857), that African Americans were unable to become American citizens and therefore lacked standing to sue in federal court, and that Congress had no authority to prohibit slavery in the territories, was truly monumental in its impact on the nation and immediately generated widespread public debate. For more than one hundred and fifty years, there existed no single source containing the nine opinions that comprise the *Dred Scott* decision alongside the contemporary intellectual commentary.

Dred Scott v. Sandford: Opinions and Contemporary Commentary not only fills that scholarly void but also includes Professor Lind's bibliographic essay which traces the production and transmission history of Dred Scott and details many previously unrecorded bibliographic aspects of the separately printed decision. In doing so, Lind adds important elements to the historiography of a landmark in American judicial thought—a decision which provided the textual ammunition for both sides of a debate that further divided the nation as it marched toward civil war.

Because of the modern relevance and the interdisciplinary nature of the topic, the scholarly interest in this collection should appeal not only to law school libraries but also to general academic libraries.

About the Author

Douglas W. Lind, JD, MILS is the Director of the Library and Professor of Law at Southern Illinois University School of Law. His writing and research is the production and marketing of printed materials in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. He is the recipient of the American Association of Law Libraries' Joseph L. Andrews Bibliographical Award for his two-volume work, *Lincoln's Suspension of Habeas Corpus: The Pamphlet Literature and Congressional Debate* (Hein, 2012).

Dred Scott v. Sandford

Table of Contents

Bibliographic Essay by Douglas W. Lind

"The Publication and Transmission History of Dred Scott v. Sandford"

Introduction	v
Early Imprints and Commentary	vii
Newspaper Accounts and Other Private Printings of the Decision.....	xiii
..... Conclusion	xvii

Chronology of Dred Scott Imprints

xix

Decision: Dred Scott v. Sandford

A Report of the Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, and the Opinions of the Judges Thereof, in the Case of Dred Scott v. John F. A. Sandford. December Term, 1856. New York, D. Appleton & Co., 1857	1
--	---

Early Legal Analysis

[Horace Gray and John Lowell, Jr.] A Legal Review of the Case of Dred Scott, as decided by the Supreme Court of the United States; from the Law Reporter for June, 1857. Boston: Crosby, Nichols, and Company, 1857	247
[Theodore Dwight Woolsey] Remarks on a Passage in the Opinion of Judge Daniel, in Dred Scott's Case. [New Haven?] [From the New Englander for August 1857].....	309
[William Augustus Larned] "Negro Citizenship," 15(3) The New Englander 478–526 (1857)	331
[Thomas Farrar], "The Dred Scott Case," 85 North American Review 392–415 (October 1857).....	379
Samuel Knott, "Review of the Decision of the Supreme Court in the Case of Dred Scott" in Slavery, and the Remedy; or Principles and Suggestions for a Remedial Code. Fifth Edition. New York; D. Appleton and Company, 1857, 121–137.....	03
A Review of the Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States in the Dred Scott Case. By a Kentucky Lawyer. Louisville, KY: Morton & Griswold, printers, 1857.....	421
[Thomas Hart Benton]. Historical and Legal Examination of that part of the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States in the Dred Scott case, which declares the unconstitutionality of the Missouri Compromise Act, and the self-extension of the Constitution to Territories, carrying slavery along with it. By the Author of the "Thirty Years' View." New York: D. Appleton and Company, 1857	469

Dred Scott v. Sandford: Opinions and Contemporary Commentary


Author: Douglas W. Lind

Item #: 1006043


ISBN: 978-0-8377-4061-4

Pages: xix, 663p.

Published: Getzville; William S. Hein & Co., Inc.; 2017



 customerservice@wshein.com

 800-828-7571



William S. Hein & Co., Inc.
2350 N. Forest Rd. Getzville, NY 14068
Ph: 716-882-2600 • Toll Free: 800-828-7571 • International: +1 716 882 2600 • Fax: 716-883-8100
marketing@wshein.com • www.wshein.com