War Powers of the Executive in the United States

by Clarence A. Berdahl, Ph.D.

William S. Hein & Co., Inc. Buffalo, New York 2003

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Berdahl, Clarence A. (Clarence Arthur), 1890-1990

War powers of the Executive of the United States / by Clarence A. Berdahl.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN (invalid) 1-57588-777-0 (cloth: alk. paper)

War and emergency powers—United States. 2. Executive power—United States. I.
Title.

JK558.B4 2003 342.73'062--dc21

2003045294

This book has been digitally archived to maintain the quality of the original work for future generations of legal researchers by William S. Hein & Co., Inc.

This volume printed on acid-free paper by William S. Hein & Co., Inc.



Printed in the United States of America.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS STUDIES IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES

Vol. IX

MARCH-JUNE, 1920

Nos. 1 and 2

BOARD OF EDITORS:

ERNEST L. BOGART

JOHN A. FAIRLIE

ALBERT H. LYBYER

Published by the University of Illinois
Under the Auspices of the Graduate School
Urbana, Illinois

JOHNSON REPRINT CORPORATION 111 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10003 JOHNSON REPRINT COMPANY LTD. Berkeley Square House, London, W1X6BA

COPYRIGHT, 1921 By the University of Illinois

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 72-138452

First reprinting 1970, Johnson Reprint Corporation

Printed in the United States of America

War Powers of the Executive in the United States

вч

CLARENCE A. BERDAHL, Ph. D.

Instructor in Political Science University of Illinois

CONTENTS

	PAGE
Preface	7
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	11
General conceptions of executive power	11
General conceptions of the war powers	15
Source of the President's war powers	20
Forms of presidential action	20
Delegation of presidential powers	21
I. POWERS RELATING TO THE BEGINNING OF WAR	
CHAPTER II. CONTROL OF FOREIGN RELATIONS	25
Initiation and formulation of foreign policy	26
Power of recognition	31
Power to sever diplomatic relations	35
Executive agreements	37
CHAPTER III. MILITARY MEASURES SHORT OF WAR	43
Employment of armed forces in aid of the civil power	43
Protection of "inchoate" interests of the United States	45
Protection of American rights and interests abroad	49
Intervention and police supervision	53
CHAPTER IV. POWER OF DEFENSE	58
Power to begin a "defensive" war	58
Defense against attack or invasion	62
Punitive expeditions	65
Arming of merchant vessels	67
Recognition of "existing" state of war	70
CHAPTER V. POWERS WITH REGARD TO A DECLARATION OF WAR	78
Debates in Convention of 1787	78
Importance of power of recommendation	80
Declaration of causes and purposes	94
Power of veto	95
Notification of state of war	96
	-
II. MILITARY POWERS IN TIME OF WAR	
CHAPTER VI. POWER TO RAISE AND ORGANIZE THE ARMED FORCES	101
Nature of the President's power	101
Powers under voluntary enlistment	101
Powers under conscription	105
Exercise of power without authority	108
Powers with regard to organization	111
CHAPTER VII. POWERS OF COMMAND	115
Nature of powers as commander-in-chief	115
Power of personal command	118
General direction of military operations	121

	Appointment and dismissal of officers	126
	Powers with regard to the militia	130
	CHAPTER VIII. POWERS OF MILITARY JURISDICTION	138
	Courts-martial	138
	Military commissions	143
	Power of pardon	148
	CHAPTER IX. POWERS OF MILITARY GOVERNMENT	152
	Definition and authority to establish	152
	Power to determine its character	154
	Functions under military government	157
	Tanosono ando mineral governmenti i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	201
	III. CIVIL POWERS IN TIME OF WAR	
	CHAPTER X. CONTROL OF ADMINISTRATION	167
	Military administrative agencies	167
	Special war administrative services	170
	Proposals for coördination	172
	Overman Act	174
	CHAPTER XI. POWERS OF POLICE CONTROL	182
	The war power and the Bill of Rights	182
	Police control of aliens	184
	Suspension of the writ of habeas corpus	188
	Power of censorship	192
	CHAPTER XII. POWERS OF ECONOMIC CONTROL	203
	Control of food and fuel	204
	Control of trade and industry	208
	Control of private property	212
	Control of transportation and communication	214
	IV. POWERS RELATING TO THE TERMINATION OF WAR	
	CHAPTER XIII. POWER OF TERMINATING WAR IN THE UNITED STATES	223
	Methods of terminating war	223
	Recent opinions and actions in the United States	224
•	Debates in Convention of 1787	228
•	Official declarations by Congress	230
	CHAPTER XIV. POWERS WITH REGARD TO A TREATY OF PEACE	232
	Armistice and preliminary protocol	232
	Appointment of peace commissioners	237
	Control of peace negotiations	242
	Final ratification	246
	CHAPTER XV. POWERS WITH REGARD TO RECONSTRUCTION	250
	Resumption of diplomatic relations	251
	Government of acquired territory	252
	Powers under recent war legislation	262
	CHAPTER XVI. CONCLUSION	265
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	271
	Table of Cases.	283
	INDEX	285

PREFACE

The powers of the Executive relating to war have received surprisingly little attention in treatises and commentaries on the Constitution. They are usually passed by with little more than a repetition of the constitutional provision making the President the Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces of the nation. This study is an attempt to describe these war powers more fully and systematically than has heretofore been done. For this purpose, the term "war powers" has been interpreted somewhat liberally, so as to include not only the powers that may be exercised during the actual conduct of war, but also those that relate to the initiation and termination of war and to the reconstruction period following war. It has been necessary. in great measure, to work over old material and to make use of familiar historical incidents. Nevertheless, it is hoped that something has been contributed to show more clearly the comprehensive scope and the almost unlimited nature of this phase of the President's power.

The writer is indebted to members of the Political Science Seminar of the University of Illinois, and more especially to Professors Garner and Fairlie, for valuable suggestions and kindly criticism. He is alone responsible for any errors of fact or conclusion.

University of Illinois

"It is difficult to describe any single part of a great governmental system without describing the whole of it. Governments are living things and operate as organic wholes."

-Woodrow Wilson.

Constitutional Government
in the United States

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

"The executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." The language here used by the Constitution in describing the executive power in the government of the United States is strikingly different from that describing the general power of either of the other two great departments. The article dealing with the legislative department uses the words, "All legislative powers herein granted." showing that the following specified powers clearly constitute a limitation on the possible claims of that department to power; while the article devoted to the judiciary also expressly states that the judicial power of the United States "shall extend to" certain enumerated cases, thereby obviously excluding all other cases over which the judiciary might otherwise claim jurisdiction.

The lack of such express limitations in the article dealing with the Executive has led to some difference of opinion as to whether the executive power vested in the President by the Constitution is defined and limited by the following specified powers, or whether it includes other powers not enumerated but naturally executive in character. Even if the former interpretation of the Constitution is accepted as correct, the conception of the term "executive power" still remains somewhat vague, since several of the expressly enumerated powers of the President, such as his powers as Commander-in-Chief and his power to see that the laws are executed, are in themselves undefined in the Constitution, uncertain as to their limits, and therefore subject to various interpretations.

¹ Constitution, Art. II, Sec. 1.

² Ibid., Art. I, Sec. 1.

³ Ibid., Art. III, Sec. 2.

The article dealing with the Executive has therefore been characterized as "the most defective part of the Constitution," its loose and general expressions enabling the President, by implication and construction, "either to neglect his duties or to enlarge his powers." A distinguished historian says that while our Constitution in the main is of the rigid type, its flexible character is shown in the provisions conferring the powers and defining the duties of the Executive. "Everything is clearly stated, but the statements do not go beyond the elementary." Pointing out that while the Constitution did not authorize certain of Lincoln's acts, neither did it expressly forbid them, he holds that there is "room for inference, a chance for development, and an opportunity for a strong man to imprint his character upon the office." 5 Somewhat the same idea was expressed by President Wilson some years ago when he wrote: "The President is at liberty, both in law and conscience, to be as big a man as he can. His capacity will set the limit."6

A doctrine of constitutional construction — the so-called Wilson-Roosevelt doctrine with regard to the control of matters within the "twilight zone" between the national and state jurisdictions? — was translated by President Roosevelt into terms of inherent executive power. He said: "The most important factor in getting the right spirit in my Administration, next to insistence upon courage, honesty, and a genuine democracy of desire to serve the plain people, was my insistence upon the theory that the executive power was limited only by specific restrictions and prohibitions appearing in the Constitution or imposed by Congress under its constitutional powers. My view

- 4 View of Secretary of State Upshur. See his more extended statement, quoted in Taft, Our Chief Magistrate and His Powers, 141.
 - 5 Rhodes, Historical Essays, 204, 214.
 - 6 Constitutional Government in the United States, 70.
- ⁷ First enunciated by James Wilson in 1785, recently advocated by President Roosevelt, and stated as follows: "That when a subject has been neither expressly excluded from the regulating power of the Federal Government, nor expressly placed within the exclusive control of the States, it may be regulated by Congress if it be, or become, a matter the regulation of which is of general importance to the whole nation, and at the same time a matter over which the States are, in practical fact, unable to exercise the necessary controlling power." Willoughby, Constitutional Law, I, 47.

was that every executive officer in high position was a steward of the people bound actively and affirmatively to do all he could for the people, and not to content himself with the negative merit of keeping his talents undamaged in a napkin. I declined to adopt the view that what was imperatively necessary for the Nation could not be done by the President unless he could find some specific authorization to do it. My belief was that it was not only his right but his duty to do anything that the needs of the Nation demanded unless such action was forbidden by the Constitution or by the laws. Under this interpretation I did and caused to be done many things not previously done by the President and the heads of the departments. I did not usurp power but did greatly broaden the use of executive power. In other words, I acted for the public welfare, I acted for the common well being of all our people, whenever and in whatever measure was necessary, unless prevented by direct constitutional or legislative prohibition." 8

Roosevelt's theory of executive power is disputed, however, by equally eminent authority. Senator Rayner, one of the leading constitutional lawyers of his time, contended that the clause dealing with the executive power relates simply to the distribution of governmental functions, and should not be considered as a grant of power at all. Professor Goodnow says that the holder of executive power "is for the most part to exercise the powers which have clearly been given to him by the Constitution, and the Constitution itself is regarded as a grant of power not otherwise possessed, rather than as a limitation of power already in existence." ¹⁰

The Supreme Court has likewise not only repudiated the Wilson-Roosevelt doctrine of constitutional construction as being contrary to the 10th Amendment, but it has also definitely refuted the Roosevelt theory of executive power. "We have no officers in this government," says the Court, "from the Presi-

⁸ Roosevelt, Autobiography, 388-389.

⁹ Speech in U. S. Senate, Jan. 31, 1907. Cong. Record, XLI, Pt. II (59 Cong., 2 Sess.), 2010.

¹⁰ Principles of Constitutional Government, 89.

¹¹ Kansas v. Colorado, 206 U. S., 46, 89-90 (1907). The 10th Amendment reads as follows: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

dent down to the most subordinate agent, who does not hold office under the law, with prescribed duties and limited authority." 12 It would therefore seem that ex-President Taft reflected the better opinion when he stated the true view of executive power to be "that the President can exercise no power which cannot be fairly and reasonably traced to some specific grant of power or justly implied and included within such express grant as proper and necessary to its exercise. Such specific grant must be either in the Federal Constitution or in an Act of Congress passed in pursuance thereof. There is no undefined residuum of power which he can exercise because it seems to him to be in the public interest . . . The grants of Executive power are necessarily in general terms in order not to embarrass the Executive within the field of action plainly marked for him, but his jurisdiction must be justified and vindicated by affirmative constitutional or statutory provisions or it does exist. ''13

Altho the weight of authority upholds the contention that executive power in the United States is limited definitely to the powers enumerated in the Constitution, or clearly implied therefrom, the interpretation of those enumerated powers is frequently such as to give to the President an extraordinary and practically undefined range of authority. Thus, for example, it has been authoritatively held that the President, under his power "to take care that the laws be faithfully executed," may undertake measures and exercise authority, for the enforcement of the law or the protection of federal rights, not specifically granted by Constitution or statute. Other of the President's enumerated powers, such as his power as Commander-in-Chief

¹² The Floyd Acceptances, 7 Wall., 666, 676 (1868).

¹³ Our Chief Magistrate and His Powers, 139-140.

¹⁴ In re Neagle, 135 U. S., 1, 63-64, 67 (1890). Cf. dissenting opinion, which held that such enforcement or protection "must proceed not from the President, but primarily from Congress," and that if Congress does not pass laws in reference to such matters, "there is not the slightest legal necessity out of which to imply any such power in the President." Ibid., 82, 83. See also view of W. W. Willoughby: "The obligation to take care that the laws of the United States are faithfully executed, is an obligation which is to be fulfilled by the exercise of those powers which the Constitution and Congress have seen fit to confer." Constitutional Law, II, 1151.

and his power to receive and send ambassadors and ministers, are likewise subject to the same broad interpretation.

If the general conception of executive power in the United States is somewhat vague and open to various interpretations, that is especially true of the nature and extent of executive power with regard to war. It has rightly been said that "the domain of the executive power in time of war constitutes a sort of 'dark continent' in our jurisprudence, the boundaries of which are undetermined." ¹⁵

From the very beginning of our history as a nation, statesmen and commentators have held that since it is impossible to foresee what may be the exigencies or circumstances endangering the public safety, therefore "no constitutional shackies can wisely be imposed," and none are imposed upon the so-called war powers. They have held that there are two distinct classes of powers under the Constitution—the peace powers, which are subject to the restrictions of the Constitution, and the war powers, which are limited only by the laws and usages of nations, 17

¹⁵ J. W. Garner, in Revue du Droit Public et de la Science Politique, XXXV, 13 (Jan.-Mar., 1918).

16 See argument of Hamilton, in The Federalist, No. 23 (Goldwin Smith ed., pp. 119-120). Cf. Speech of Senator Sumner, in U. S. Senate, June 27, 1862: "Pray, Sir, where in the Constitution is any limitation of the War Powers? Let Senators who would limit them mention a single section, line, or phrase, which even hints at any limitation. . . . The War Powers are derived from the Constitution, but, when once set in motion, are without any restraint from the Constitution; so that what is done in pursuance of them is at the same time under the Constitution and outside the Constitution. It is under the Constitution in the latitude with which it is conducted; but, whether under the Constitution or outside the Constitution, all that is done in pursuance of the War Powers is constitutional." Works of Charles Sumner, VII, 131-132. See also Fisher, Trial of the Constitution, 199.

17" There are, then, in the authority of Congress and of the Executive, two classes of powers, altogether different in their nature and often incompatible with each other—the war power and the peace power. The peace power is limited by regulations and restricted by provisions prescribed within the Constitution itself. The war power is limited only by the laws and usages of nations. This power is tremendous; it is strictly constitutional, but it breaks down every barrier so anxiously erected for the protection of liberty, of property and of life. . . The powers of war are all regulated by the laws of nations, and are subject to no other limitations." Speech of John Quincy Adams, in House of Representatives, May 25, 1836. Cong. Debates, XII, Pt. IV (24 Cong., 1 Sess.), 4038, 4039.

and under which the rights of peace may even be disregarded or curtailed.¹⁸ They have asserted that the war power implies the right to do anything that may seem necessary to carry on the war successfully, even to the extent of performing otherwise unconstitutional acts.¹⁹

These claims with regard to the extent of the war power have also been sanctioned by the Supreme Court. Thus, in upholding the Confiscation Acts of the Civil War, the Court said: "If the statutes were not enacted under the municipal power of Congress to legislate for the punishment of crimes against the sovereignty of the United States; if, on the contrary, they are an exercise of the war powers of the government, it is clear they are not affected by the restrictions imposed by the 5th and 6th Amendments. . . . Of course the power to declare war

18 "But in bestowing upon the Government War Powers without limitation, they [the makers of the Constitution] embodied in the Constitution all the Rights of War as completely as if those rights had been severally set down and enumerated; and among the first of these is the right to disregard the Rights of Peace." Works of Charles Sumner, VII, 136-137.

"It seems to be pretty well settled by the common sense of mankind that when a nation is fighting for its existence it cannot be fettered by all the legal technicalities which obtain in time of peace." Rhodes, Historical Essays, 214.

"What is the effect of our entering upon the war? The effect is that we have surrendered and are obliged to surrender a great measure of that liberty which you and I have been asserting in court during all our lives; power over property, power over persons. This has to be vested in a military commander in order to carry on war successfully." Speech of Elihu Root at Saratoga Springs, Sept., 1917, quoted in Va. Law Rev., V, 179.

declare war, it by necessary implication conferred upon Congress the right to declare war, it by necessary implication conferred upon Congress the right to do anything that in its judgment is necessary to carry that war to a successful conclusion.'' Senator P. C. Knox, in U. S. Senate, May 29, 1917. Cong. Record, 65 Cong., 1 Sess., 3276.

"I felt that measures otherwise unconstitutional might become lawful by becoming indispensable to the preservation of the Constitution through the preservation of the nation." Letter of Lincoln to A. G. Hodges, Apr. 4, 1864. Nicolay & Hay, Complete Works of Abraham Lincoln, II, 508.

"If the Union and the Government cannot be saved out of this terrible shock of war constitutionally, a Union and a Government must be saved unconstitutionally." Fisher, Trial of the Constitution, 199.

involves the power to prosecute it by all means and in any manner in which war may be legitimately prosecuted." ²⁰ Even the dissenting justices in this case admitted that legislation founded upon the war power is subject to quite different considerations from that based upon the municipal power of the government, and "is subject to no limitations, except such as are imposed by the law of nations in the conduct of war . . . The war powers of the government have no express limitations in the Constitution, and the only limitation to which their exercise is subject is the law of nations." ²¹ The same principle has also been upheld by the Court in other cases. ²²

Tho authorities thus seem to agree regarding the nature and unlimited extent of the "war powers" as such, the extent to which the exercise of these war powers is vested in the President or in Congress is a matter of some dispute. For example, Senator Browning, during the Civil War, asserted the complete authority of the Executive in determining upon the measures necessary to meet any war emergency, denying that Congress had even coordinate power with the President in that respect. "It is not true," he said, "that Congress may decide upon the measures demanded by military necessities and order them to be enforced. . . These necessities can be determined only by the military commander, and to him the Constitution has intrusted the prerogative of judging of them. When the Constitution made the President 'Commander-in-Chief of the Army and Navy of the United States,' it clothed him with the incidental powers necessary to a full, faithful and sufficient performance of the duties of that high office; and to decide what are military necessities, and to devise and execute the requisite measures to meet them, is one of these incidents. It is not a legislative, but an executive function, and Congress has nothing to do with it." 23

On the other hand, Senator Sumner disputed this claim to executive power, and held that the exercise of the war powers

²⁰ Miller v. United States, 11 Wall., 268, 304-305 (1870).

²¹ Ibid., 315.

²² Stewart v. Kahn, 11 Wall., 493, 506-507 (1870); Mechanics and Traders Bank v. Union Bank, 22 Wall., 276, 295 (1874); McCormick et al. v. Humphrey, 27 Ind., 144, 154 (1866).

²³ Speech in U. S. Senate, June 25, 1862. Cong. Globe, 37 Cong., 2 Sess., 2919, 2920, 2922.

rested with Congress. "Of the pretension that all these enormous powers belong to the President, and not to Congress, I try to speak calmly and within bounds. I mean always to be parliamentary. But a pretension so irrational and unconstitutional; so absurd and tyrannical, is not entitled to respect. Such a pretension would change the National Government from a government of law to that of a military dictator . ." 24

As a matter of fact, the growth of executive power into a practical dictatorship in time of war, does not seem to have been especially feared in this country. During the Revolution, attempts were made, both in New York and Virginia, to create a dictator, who in the latter state was to be "invested with every power legislative, executive, and judiciary, civil and military, of life and death over our persons and over our properties," ²⁵ a proposal apparently approved by such a democrat as Patrick Henry. Washington was actually given the power of a dictator on three separate occasions; while Lincoln has been referred to by impartial writers as exercising "more arbitrary power than any Englishman since Oliver Cromwell," and as one whose acts were "worthy of a Tudor." During the recent World War, the necessity of making the President the supreme dictator in order to win the war was seriously suggested in Congress. ²⁹

²⁴ Speech in U. S. Senate, June 27, 1862. Works of Charles Sumner, VII, 139-140. But of. Sumner's remarks in a speech at Boston, only a few months later (Oct. 6): "In war there is no constitutional limit to the activity of the executive, except the emergency. The safety of the people is the highest law. There is no blow the President can strike; there is nothing he can do against the Rebellion, that is not constitutional. Only inaction can be unconstitutional." Ibid., 217.

- ²⁵ Elliot's Debates, II, 357-361; Writings of Thomas Jefferson, III, 231.
- ²⁶ It was, however, bitterly opposed by Jefferson. Elliot's Debates, III, 160; Writings of Thomas Jefferson, III, 231.
- ²⁷ See resolves of Dec. 27, 1776, Sept. 17 and Nov. 14, 1777. Jour. Cont. Cong., VI, 1045-1046; VIII, 752; IX, 905. See also Elliot's Debates, III, 79.
- ²⁸ Rhodes, Historical Essays, 213; of. Bryce, American Commonwealth, I, 65-66, 72; Ford, Rise and Growth of American Politics, 280.
- ²⁹ Senator Harding (Ohio) made the suggestion in August, 1917: "What the United States needs and what it must have if it is to win the war is a supreme dictator, with sole control of and sole responsibility for every phase of war activity, and this today means practically every phase of Government. Not only does this country need such a dictator,

That the President can of his own accord constitutionally assume dictatorial power in time of war has been denied by the courts as "an extravagant assumption;" 30 altho most authorities hold that the war powers of the President constitute a "latent power of discretionary action" capable of almost unlimited expansion in times of emergency and making the President practically absolute within a certain sphere of action. 31

The exact limits of this sphere of action for the President and the line of demarcation between his war powers and those of Congress, are difficult to determine. An attempt to draw such a line and to delimit such a sphere of action was made in a famous case in the following language: "Congress has the power not only to raise and support and govern armies, but to declare war. It has, therefore, the power to provide by law for carrying on war. This power necessarily extends to all legislation essential to the prosecution of war with vigor and success, except such as interfere with the command of the forces and the conduct of campaigns. That power and duty belong to the President as Commander-in-Chief. Both these powers are derived from the Constitution, but neither is defined by that instrument. Their extent must be determined by their nature and by the principles of our institutions. The power to make the necessary laws is in Congress: the power to execute in the President. Both powers imply many subordinate and auxilliary powers. Each includes all authorities essential to its due exercise. But neither can the President in war more than in peace, intrude upon the proper authority of Congress, nor Congress upon the proper authority of the President. Both are servants of the people, whose will is expressed in the fundamental law." 32 Other authorities have

in my opinion it is sure to have one before the war goes much further... The sooner it comes the better for all of us. . . . For supreme dictator at the present moment there is but one possible man, the President of the United States.' N. Y. Times, Feb. 10, 1918.

³⁰ Jones v. Seward, 40 Barb. (N. Y.), 563, 571 (1863).

³¹ Goodnow, Comparative Administrative Law, I, 32; Watson, On the Constitution, II, 914; Baldwin, Modern Political Institutions, 91-92; Channing, History of the United States, III, 513; W. A. Dunning, "The War Power of the President," New Republic, XI, 76-79 (May 19, 1917). For a somewhat extravagant claim as to the absolute nature of the President's war powers, see remarks of Senator Lewis, in U. S. Senate, June 30, 1917. Cong. Record, LV, Pt. 5 (65 Cong., 1 Sess.), 4552, 4553.

³² Ex parte Milligan, 4 Wall., 2, 139 (1866).

attempted a briefer and simpler delimitation by saying that "Congress regulates whatever is of general and permanent importance, while the President determines all matters temporary and not general in their nature." 38

The main source of the President's war powers is of course the Constitution. Besides certain powers relating directly to war that are expressly conferred upon the President by that instrument,³⁴ other powers and duties are vested in him that may have an important bearing on the conduct of war;³⁵ while still other clauses of the Constitution not referring directly to the President may by necessary implication add to his war powers.³⁶ Other of the President's powers with regard to war are derived from international law and practise, are conferred by statute, or are established as a result of custom and usage. To define more clearly these war powers of the President, to determine their nature and source, and to discover the manner of their exercise, is the purpose of this study.

The most common forms through which the President in person exercises his powers, are by proclamations and executive orders, the former generally containing announcements and decisions of the widest interest and broadest scope, the latter usually concerning matters not of such general interest. Either may be issued as a result of express or implied statutory authorization, or by virtue of the President's constitutional position as Chief Executive. The great increase in the number of these proclamations and executive orders issued in war time is also an excellent indication of the growth of the war powers of the Executive over his power in time of peace.

Other forms of presidential action include rules and regulations issued under statutory authority or by virtue of the President's constitutional power; directions, instructions, or orders to heads of departments and other agencies; and decisions on

³³ Fairlie, National Administration of the United States, 33; cf. Von Holst, Constitutional Law of the United States, 193.

⁸⁴ Art II, Sec. 2, Cl. 1 (commander-in-chief).

so Art I, Sec. 7, Cl. 2, 3 (sign and veto bills); Art II, Sec. 1, Cl. 8 (oath of office); Sec. 2, Cl. 1 (power of pardon); Sec. 2, Cl. 2 (power with regard to foreign relations and appointment of officers); Sec. 3 (recommend measures, call special session, and execute the laws).

³⁶ Art. I, Sec. 9, Cl. 2 (habeas corpus); Art IV, Sec. 4 (guaranty of republican government and of protection).

matters requiring his approval or coming to him through appeals from the decisions of subordinate officials. Finally, the commissioning of officers appointed by him with or without the consent of the Senate, the recommendation of measures to Congress, and the signing or vetoing of bills, may be included among the means through which the President exercises his authority, and which must be considered in connection with this study of his powers.³⁷

Not all of the acts required of the President can possibly be performed by him personally, and the courts have definitely recognized that he may act through the heads of departments. "The President speaks and acts through the heads of the several departments in relation to subjects which appertain to their respective duties," and the acts of the heads of departments are "in legal contemplation the act of the President." 38

It has also been held that heads of departments may in turn act through subordinate officials in the departments;39 but the question as to how far this delegation of power may be carried and still be considered the act of the President seems as vet to be unsettled by the courts. It has been pointed out that most orders and regulations are in fact prepared by subordinate officials in the several departments, altho issued in the name of the head of the department or in the name of the President: and also that in some cases, and especially during the recent war. such orders and regulations have been issued by subordinate officials, acting by authority of the head of the department, in matters where the statutes vested the power in the President.40 This practise, undoubtedly becoming more common, opens up a vast new field for a study of the exercise of Presidential pow-Since, however, as has been suggested, it is still an open question how far such exercise of authority by subordinate officials can be considered as the act of the President, this study makes no attempt to include any exercise of power but by the President himself, or for which he may clearly be immediately responsible.

³⁷ Cf. Fairlie, National Administration of the United States, 41-42,

³⁸ Wilcox v. Jackson, 13 Pet., 498, 513 (1839); United States v. Eliason, 16 Pet., 291 (1842).

³⁹ United States v. Warfield, 170 Fed. Rep., 43 (1909).

⁴⁰ J. A. Fairlie, in Michigan Law Rev., XVIII, 188 (Jan., 1920).

TABLE OF CASES CITED

PAGE
Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S., 616 (1919)183
Adula, The, 176 U.S., 361 (1900)125
Angarica v. Bayard, 127 U. S., 251 (1888)
Arver v. United States, 245 U.S., 366 (1918)105
Bas v. Tingy, 4 Dall., 37 (1800)
Bishop v. United States, 197 U. S., 334 (1905)
Blake v. United States, 103 U. S., 227 (1880)
Bollman, Ex parte, 4 Cranch, 75 (1807)189
Brown v. United States, 8 Cranch, 110 (1814)
Burke v. Miltenberger, 19 Wall., 519 (1873)164
Coleman v. Tennessee, 97 U. S., 509 (1878)
Commercial Cable Company v. Burleson, 255 Fed. Rep., 99 (1919)219
Cross v. Harrison, 16 How., 164 (1853)
Dakota Central Telephone Company v. South Dakota, 250 U. S., 163
(1919)
Debs v. United States, 249 U. S., 211 (1919)
Dooley v. United States, 182 U. S., 222 (1901)153, 160, 162
Dow v. Johnson, 100 U. S., 158 (1879)
Fleming v. Page, 9 How., 603 (1849)
Floyd Acceptances, The, 7 Wall., 666 (1868) 14
Foster v. Neilson, 2 Pet., 253 (1829)
Frohwerk v. United States, 249 U. S., 204 (1919)
Garland, Ex parte, 4 Wall., 333 (1866)
Gelston v. Hoyt, 3 Wheat., 246 (1818)
German Alliance Insurance Company v. Lewis, 233 U.S., 389 (1914)203
Grapeshot, The, 9 Wall., 129 (1869)
Gray v. United States, 21 Ct. of Cl., 340 (1886)
Hamilton v. Dillin, 21 Wall., 73 (1874)
Hamilton v. Kentucky Distilleries & Warehouse Company, 251 U. S., 146
(1919)
Haver v. Yaker, 9 Wall., 32 (1869)246
Herrera v. United States, 222 U. S., 558 (1912)
Hornsby v. United States, 10 Wall., 224 (1869)
Jecker v. Montgomery, 13 How., 498 (1851)
Johnson v. Sayre, 158 U. S., 109 (1895)
Jones v. Seward, 40 Barb. (N. Y.), 563 (1863)
Jones v. United States, 137 U.S., 202 (1890)25, 31
Kansas v. Colorado, 206 U. S., 46 (1907)
Kennett v. Chambers, 14 How., 38 (1852)
Lamar v. Browne, 92 U. S., 187 (1875)

	F	AGE
Leitensdorfer v. Webb, 20 How., 176 (1857)157,	164,	257
Luther v. Borden, 7 How., 1 (1848)		.132
McCormick v. Humphrey, 27 Ind., 144 (1866)		. 17
Martin v. Mott, 12 Wheat., 19 (1827)		
Mechanics and Traders Bank v. Union Bank, 22 Wall., 276 (18		
		164
Merryman, Ex parte, Fed. Cases No. 9487 (1861)	· · · · ·	192
Miller v. United States, 11 Wall., 268 (1870)		
Milligan, Ex parte, 4 Wall., 2 (1868)		
Mimmack v. United States, 97 U. S., 426 (1888)		. 129
Mississippi v. Johnson, 4 Wall., 475 (1869)		. 117
Neagle, In re, 135 U.S., 1 (1890)	4, 43	, 50
Neely v. Henkel, 180 U. S., 109 (1901)	154,	260
New Orleans v. Steamship Company, 20 Wall., 387 (1874)	153,	163
Northern Pacific Railway Company v. North Dakota, 250 U. S.,	135	
(1919)		.216
Prize Cases, 2 Black, 635 (1862)60, 75, 76,	125,	209
Protector, The, 12 Wall., 700 (1871)	247,	248
Raymond v. Thomas, 91 U. S., 712 (1875)		
Rose v. Himely, 4 Cranch, 241 (1801)		
Runkle v. United States, 122 U. S., 543 (1887)		
Salamandra Insurance Company v. New York Life Insurance Comp		
254 Fed. Rep., 852 (1918)	• • • •	212
Schenck v. United States, 249 U. S., 47 (1919)	• • • •	. 193
Shurtleff v. United States, 189 U. S., 311 (1903)		
Siebold, Ex parte, 100 U. S., 371 (1879)		
Stata, The, 56 Fed. Rep., 505 (1893)		. 32
Stewart v. Kahn, 11 Wall., 493 (1870)	.17,	251
Swaim v. United States, 165 U.S., 553 (1897)		. 139
Talbot v. Johnson, 3 Dall., 133 (1795)		
Texas v. White, 7 Wall., 700 (1868)	156,	251
Totten v. United States, 92 U. S., 105 (1875)		
Tucker v. Alexandroff, 183 U. S., 424 (1902)		
United States v. Eliason, 16 Pet., 291 (1842)		
United States v. Fletcher, 148 U. S., 84 (1893)		
United States v. Guthrie, 17 How., 283 (1854)		
United States v. Hutchings, 2 Wheeler's Crim. Cases, 543		
United States v. Klein, 13 Wall., 128 (1871)		
United States v. Padelford, 9 Wall., 531 (1869)		
United States v. Page, 137 U. S., 673 (1891)		
United States v. Trumbull, 48 Fed. Rep., 99 (1891)		
United States v. Warfield, 170 Fed. Rep., 43 (1909)		
Vallandigham, Ex parte, 1 Wall., 243 (1863)144,		
Watts v. United States, 1 Wash. Terr., 288 (1870)		
Wilcox v. Jackson, 13 Pet., 498 (1839)		
Williams v. Suffolk Insurance Company, 13 Pet., 415 (1839)	27	. 31

INDEX

Adams, John, President, arming of merchant vessels, 67, 81, 267; war influence, 80-84; on power to make war, 86; exercise of pardon, 149; purpose of Alien Act, 187

Adams, John Quincy, on nature of war powers, 15, 79; peace commissioner, 238; Secretary of State, defense of Jackson, 66

Air Service, reorganization of, 177-178

Alabama claims, 31, 89

Alaska, executive agreement concerning, 41; government of, 252

Aldrich, Nelson W., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 242

Alien Act, of 1789, 186-188

Alien Property Custodian, 172, 212, 213

Aliens, enemy, control of in war, 184-186

Allison, W. B., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 242

Amnesty, proclamations of, 149-150 Ansell, S. T., Acting Judge Advocate General, opinions of, 129, 143

Arbitrary arrest, 182, 191, 268
Arbitration, 89, 90, 91, 92
Armed merchant ships 67.70

Armed merchant ships, 67-70, 81, 198, 267

Armed neutrality, 70

Armenia, use of troops in, 56

Armistice, nature of, 232-236, 246; exercise of powers after signing of, 206, 207, 216, 218

Army, power to raise, 101; foundation of, 102; legionary form of organization, 111-112; see also Conscription, National army, Regular army, Troops, Voluntary enlistment

Articles of War, 138, 141

Ashmun resolution, concerning war with Mexico, 74

Attorney General, opinions of, on arming of merchant vessels, 69; on use of militia, 133, 134; on courtsmartial, 139, 143; on military commissions, 144; on military government, 162; on suspension of habeas corpus, 190; on termination of war, 236; powers of Food Administrator transferred to, 207, 263

Austria-Hungary, war with, 93, 95, 185, 186, 226, 234, 248, 262

Bacon, A. O., Senator, on power to declare war, 95-96; on nature of executive office, 115, 116; on senators as treaty negotiators, 242

Baker, Newton D., Secretary of War, use of troops in Silesia, 56; conscription, 108; Siberian expedition, 123, 125; member of Committee on Public Information, 197

Baldwin, S. E., on Venezuela affair, 30; on declaration of war, 58, 80; on termination of war, 224, 226, 237

Ballantine, H. W., on civil rights in war, 182

Banks, N. P., General, military government by, 154, 161

Barbary Powers, hostilities against, 64

Barrier forts, in China, attack upon, 51

Baruch, Bernard M., chairman of War Industries Board, 178, 211 Bates, Edward, Attorney General, opinions of, on courts-martial,

opinions of, on courts-martial, 143; on suspension of habeas corpus, 190

Bayard, James, peace commissioner, 238, 240, 241

Bayard, Thomas A., Secretary of State, executive agreement concerning fisheries question, 41

Bent, Charles, governor of New Mexico, 158, 161

Benton, Thomas H., Senator, on Panama Congress, 27; on inchoate interest in Texas, 47; on war with Mexico, 72; on government of California, 254

Bill of Rights, 182

Birkhimer, W. E., on beginning of war, 60

Blair, Montgomery, Postmaster General, on censorship in war, 195, 196

Bliss, Tasker H, General, 126;
peace commissioner, 239
Blockade, 74, 75, 125, 209, 234
Borah, William E., Senator, on use

of troops in Siberia, 124 Boxer rebellion, 39, 52, 239

Browning, O. H., Senator, on nature of war powers, 17

Bryce, James, on power of President, 269

Buchanan, James, Secretary of
State, grievances against Mexico,
87; government of California,
255; President, protection of
American interests abroad, 51
Bulgaria, relations with, 93
Bureau of Efficiency, 176
Bureau of Standards, 180

Cabinet, War, 172, 177
Cable censorship, 200, 201
Cable control, 219
Calhoun, John C., Secretary of War,

responsible for Jackson's occupation of Florida, 109; Senator, opposed to war with Mexico, 73

California, military government of, 154, 155, 158, 159, 161, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258

Canada, executive agreement concerning, 41; Fenian invasion of, 44, 89

Caribbean, zone of, police control in, 53, 55, 56

Cass, Lewis, Senator, favored war with Mexico, 73-74; Secretary of State, on protection of American interests abroad, 51

Censorship, 171, 180, 194-202, 268 Censorship Board, 171, 201

Chamberlain, George E., Senator, proposal for War Cabinet, 172

Chase, Salmon P., Secretary of the Treasury, organization of armed forces, 112-113; Chief-Justice, definition of military government, 153

Chesapeake affair, 84, 85

China, Boxer rebellion, 39, 51-52; attack upon Barrier forts, 51

Civil Service, transfer of employees under, 177

Civil War, blockade, 74-75, 209; raising and organization of armed forces, 104, 106, 110-111, 112-113; draft, 106, 167-168; military commissions, 144, 147; pardon, 150; congressional check on conduct, 170, 269; suspension of habeas corpus, 189, 190-192; censorship, 195-197, 268; regulation of intercourse, 208; confiscation of property, 212; control of railroads, 214; control of telegraph, 218, 219; termination, 248; reconstruction, 251

Clay, Henry, recognition of South American provinces, 33; war influence, 85; peace commissioner, 238, 240, 241 Clemency Board, during World War, 150

Cleveland, Grover, President, Venezuela affair, 30, 89-90; power of recognition, 32; intervention in Colombia, 53; Cuban policy, 91 Coast and Geodetic Survey, transfer

Coast and Geodetic Survey, transfer of, 177

Coast Guard, transfer of, 177 Colombia, relations with, 49, 53 Columbus raid, 67

Commander-in-Chief, nature of powers as, 11, 17, 19, 115, 116-117, 265; executive agreements, 37, 40; military measures short of war, 43; defensive war, 59, 60, 62, 75; personal command, 115, 116, 118-120, 135-137; direction of military and naval operations, 117, 121-126, 266; appointment and dismissal of officers, 126-130; control of militia, 130-135; military jurisdiction, 139, 147; military government, 152, 153, 157, 164; war administration, 172, 174, 266; police control, 182, 186, 194, 200, 201, 202; control of economic resources, 209; termination of war, 233, 267; reconstruction, 251, 253, 257, 260

Committee on Public Information, 172, 197-199, 201

Communication, control of, 231, 218-219

Confiscation Acts, 16, 150, 212

Congress, war powers of, 16, 17, 18, 19, 58, 78, 79, 88, 95-96, 101, 117, 118, 121, 126, 127, 131, 138, 142, 149, 170, 183, 189, 191, 193, 208, 224, 226-230, 248, 251, 252, 254, 257, 264, 268

Conscription, in the Revolution, 105; in Civil War, 106, 167-168; in World War, 107-108, 169

Constitution, nature of executive, legislative, and judicial clauses, 11; Wilson-Roosevelt doctrine of construction, 12-14; as source of war powers, 20, 265-266; as war instrument, 182

Convention of 1787, on power to declare war, 61, 78-79; on powers of command, 115, 119; on control of militia, 130; on power to make peace, 228-229

Corwin, E. S., on control of foreign policy, 29, 31; on power of defense, 77; on power to declare peace, 226

Council of National Defense, 172, 178, 204, 211, 215

Courts-martial, 138-143, 147, 163, 190

Creel, George, chairman of Committee on Public Information, 198, 199

Crowninshield, B. W., Secretary of the Navy, 38

Cuba, recognition of, 32; filibusterering expeditions against, 45; difficulties concerning, 91-92; military government of, 156, 259-260

Cullom, S. M., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 241

Cummins, A. B., Senator, on Overman Act, 174; on railway control, 215, 216

Dalmatia, use of troops in, 56, 57
Daniels, Josephus, Secretary of the
Navy, member of Committee on
Public Information, 197; on voluntary censorship, 198

Davis, Cushman K., Senator, peace commissioner, 240

Davis, George B., Judge Advocate General, opinion of, on use of militia, 134

Day, William R., Secretary of State, peace commissioner, 240

Declaration of war, 46, 58-64, 71-76, 78-80, 82-98, 104, 226, 266
Demobilization, 234, 235, 236

Denby, Charles, member of Philippine Commission, 157

Devens, Charles, Attorney General, opinion on courts-martial, 139

Dewey, George, Admiral, member of Philippine Commission, 157

Dick Militia Act, 133

Dictatorship, in war, 18, 19, 203, 269

Diplomatic relations, breaking of, 35-37, 93

Director General of Railroads, 172, 180, 215, 216

District of Columbia, enemy aliens barred from, 186

see also Conscription

Dunning, W. A., on civil rights in
war, 183

Draft, in Civil War, 106, 167-168;

Edge, W. E., Senator, resolution on use of troops abroad, 124; resolution for termination of war, 225
Emancipation proclamation, 268
Embargo, 208, 210

Emergency Fleet Corporation, 217 Enemy aliens, control of in war, 184-186

Enlistment, voluntary, 101, 104, 105 Esch-Cummins Railroad bill, 216 Espionage Act, 150, 170, 193-194, 201, 209

Executive agreements, nature of, 37; with Great Britain, 37-39, 41; with China, 39-40; with Mexico, 40; with Spain, 41; with Panama, 41; with Japan, 41; with Santo Domingo, 42

Executive orders, as forms of presidential action, 20

Executive power, nature of constitutional provision, 11; Roosevelt theory, 12-14; extent of, 14-15
Exports Administrative Board, 171
Exports Council, 171

Fairlie, J. A., on nature of war

powers, 20; on forms of presidential action, 20-21; on delegation of powers, 21; on war influence of President, 31

Fall, A. B., Senator, resolution for withdrawal of recognition, 35; resolution for termination of war, 225

Federal Trade Commission, transfer of powers, 179

Fenian invasion, 44, 89

Filibuster, on arming of merchant vessels, 69

Filibustering expeditions, 45

Fillmore, Millard, President, on control of militia, 131; powers of reconstruction, 256

Fish, Hamilton, Secretary of State, influence for peace, 89

Fisheries question, executive agreement concerning, 41

Fletcher, D. U., Senator, on Overman Act, 174

Florida, inchoate interest in, 45-46; occupation of, 65, 66; government of, 252

Florida claims, 89

Food control, 170, 171, 204-207, 210, 262

Food and Fuel Control Act, 170, 205, 207, 208, 230, 262, 268

Foraker, Joseph B., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 242 Ford, H. J., on dictatorship in war, 269

Foreign language press, control of, 201

Foreign policy, formulation of, 26-31 Foreign troops, entry of, executive agreements concerning, 40-41

Fourteen points, 234, 244

France, difficulties with, 80, 83, 84, 103

 France, J. I., Senator, resolution for pardon of political prisoners, 151
 Freedom of speech and press, 182, 183, 192-202 Frelinghuysen, F. T., Secretary of State, executive agreements, 40, 41

 Fremont, John C., Colonel, military governor of California, 158, 255
 Frye, William P., Senator, peace commissioner, 240

Fuel control, 170, 171, 179, 207-208, 263

Gallatin, Albert, on war influence of President, 82; peace commissioner, 238

Garfield, H. A., Fuel Administrator, 207, 208, 263

Garner, J. W., on executive power in war, 15

Gerard, James W., Ambassador, recall of, 37

Germany, war with, declaration of, 92, 95, 226; raising of forces during, 105, 107-108; control of aliens during, 185, 186; armistice conditions, 234; reconstruction after, 262; termination of, 225, 226, 230-231, 235-236, 248

Goodnow, F. J., on nature of war powers, 13, 19, 167

Grain Corporation, United States, 171, 206

Grant, U. S., President, inchoate interest in Santo Domingo, 48; influence for peace, 88-89

Graves, William S., Major General, commander of Siberian expedition, 122, 123

Gray, George, Senator, peace commissioner, 240

Great Britain, executive agreements with, 37-39, 41; relations with, 62-63, 84-86, 88-90; see also War of 1812

Great Lakes, limitation of naval armaments on, 37

Gregory, Thomas W., Attorney General, opinion on arming of merchant vessels, 69

Greytown (Nicaragua), bombardment of, 50

Griggs, John W., Attorney General, opinions of, on military government, 162; on termination of war, 236

Habeas corpus, suspension of, 188-192, 266, 268

Hague Convention, 61, 94, 95, 96

Hale, Eugene, Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 241, 242

Hamilton, Alexander, on power to receive and send diplomatic representatives, 35; on neutrality, 44; on power of defense, 63-64; on power of declaring war, 78, 94; on powers of command, 116, 119; on power of pardon, 148; on executive war power, 167

Hand, Learned, Judge, on power to terminate war, 236

Harding, Warren G., Senator, on dictatorship in war, 18

Harrison, Benjamin, President, on appointment of officers, 127

Hartford Convention, 132

Hawaii, government of, 253

Hayti, intervention in, 54

Helvidius letter, on neutrality, 44 Henry correspondence, 85, 86

Henry, Patrick, on dictatorship in war, 18

Hines, Walker D., Director General of Railroads, 216, 262

Hitchcock, C. N., on control of industry in war, 211, 212

Hitchcock, Gilbert M., Senator, on use of troops in Dalmatia, 57

Hoar, George F., Senator, on appointment of senators as treaty negotiators, 241, 242

Holmes, O. W., Justice, dissenting opinion on civil rights in war, 183 Honduras, intervention in, 55

Hoover, Herbert, Food Administrator, 204, 205 Horseshoe Reef, executive agreement concerning, 38

House, Edward M., peace commissioner, 239

Housing Corporation, 213

Hughes, Charles E., on direction of military movements, 121; on control of business in war, 204, 219; on power to make peace, 229; on powers of reconstruction, 250

Ide, Henry C., member of Philippine Commission, 260

Inchoate interest, in Florida, 45-46; in Texas, 47-48; in Santo Domingo, 48-49; in Panama Canal, 49 Industry, control of, 204, 210-212 International law as limitation on

International law, as limitation on war powers, 15, 17; as source of war powers, 20; control of enemy aliens, 184, 185; treatment of enemy property, 212; right of requisition, 217

Internment, during World War, 185, 186

Interstate Commerce Commission, 215

Intervention, 53-57

Jackson, Andrew, General, occupation of Florida, 65, 66, 67; raising of troops in Seminole War, 108-109; President, recognition of Texas, 33

Jefferson, Thomas, on arming of merchant vessels, 67; on war influence of Adams, 80, 81; on suspension of habeas corpus, 189; Secretary of State, formulation of foreign policy, 26; President, power of defense, 63, 64-65; influence for peace, 84-85

Johnson, Andrew, military governor, 153, 158; President, limitation on removal power, 128; convenes military commission, 145; exercise of pardon, 150; powers of reconstruction, 251

Johnson, Hiram, Senator, on Siberian policy, 124

Judge Advocate General, opinions of, 129, 134, 143

Judicial power, constitutional limitation on, 11

Kearney, S. W., General, military government by, 154, 155, 157, 158, 161, 163

Knox, P. C., Senator, on nature of war powers, 16; on Overman Act, 175; peace resolution, 225, 226, 230; resolution for separation of covenant and treaty, 244

Koszta incident, 50

Lansing, Robert, Secretary of State, on arming of merchant vessels, 69; member of Committee on Public Information, 197; peace commissioner, 239

Lansing-Ishii agreement, 41

League of Nations, 224, 225, 244, 245

Legion, as form of army organization, 111-112

Legislative power, constitutional limitation on, 11

Lenroot, I. L., Senator, on termination of war, 224

Lighthouse Service, transfer of, 177
Lincoln, Abraham, President, on nature of war powers, 16; exercise of arbitrary power, 18, 268; power of recognition, 32; blockade, 74, 125, 209; draft, 106; questionable authority, 110, 175; powers of command, 120; trial of assassins of, 144, 145; exercise of pardon, 150; military government, 158, 161, 163; suspension of habeas corpus, 190, 192; regulation of intercourse, 209; control

of railroads, 214; powers of reconstruction, 251

Lodge, H. C., Senator, on Fall resolution, 36; resolution for termination of war, 225, 227; control of negotiations, 245, 246

Louisiana, government of, 252

McAdoo, W. G., Director General of Railroads, 172, 215, 216

McCallum, D. C., military director of railroads, 214

McComas, J. B., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 242

McCormick, Medill, Senator, resolution on use of troops abroad, 124
McKinley, William, President, orders military force to China, 51; war influence, 91-92; direction of military operations, 120; blockade, 125, 209; military government, 154, 156, 157, 159, 258-262; preliminary protocol, 234; appointment of peace commissioners, 239, 240; control of peace negotiations, 243

Madison, James, on neutrality, 44; on arming of merchant vessels, 68; on war influence of Adams, 81, 83; on power of declaring war, 94; President, war influence, 85; proclamation of war, 97; use of militia in war, 132; exercise of pardon, 150; proposal for armistice, 233; appointment of peace commissioners. 238

Maine controversy, 91

March, Peyton C., General, 114, 126
Marcy, W. L., Secretary of War,
Koszta incident, 50; military government of New Mexico, 159, 255
Marine Hospital Service, 177

Marines, use of in Caribbean Zone, 55

Marshall, John, on control of foreign relations, 25 Martial law, 138, 143, 145, 146, 152 Mason, William E., Representative, peace resolution, 225

Mayes, James J., Acting Judge Advocate General, opinions of, 129 Merchantmen, armed, 67-70, 81, 198, 267

Mexico, Wilson's relations with, 30, 35-36, 52, 67; war with, as war of defense, 70-74; beginning of, 86-88; declaration of, 88, 95, 226; raising of forces during, 103; appointment of officers in, 126; use of militia in, 132, 133; blockade, 209; termination of, 247

Military commissions, 138, 143-147, 163, 190

Military governor, 155, 158, 159 Militia, 61, 107, 130-137

Mines, Bureau of, 179, 180

Monroe, James, Secretary of State, armistice proposal, 233; Secretary of War, recommends conscription, 106; on control of militia, 132, 136, 137; President, power of recognition, 33; inchoate interest in Florida, 46; power of defense, 65; appointment of officers, 127; on appointment of members of Congress to foreign missions, 240 Monroe doctrine, 30, 31, 54, 90

Moore, John Bassett, on executive agreements, 37

Morgan, E. M., on courts-martial, 142

Morgan, John T., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 241

Moses, Bernard, member of Philippine Commission, 260

Murray, William Vans, on arming of merchant vessels, 68

National Army, 106, 113 National Defense Act, 105, 107, 113, 132, 210 National Guard, 107, 113 Navy, power to provide, 101; see also Secretary of the Navy

Negotiations, control of, 25, 31, 242-246

Nelson, Knute, Senator, on Overman Act, 175

Neutrality, enforcement of, 44-45, 70, 88

New Mexico, military government of, 154, 157, 158, 159, 161, 163, 254, 255, 257

Newspapers, control of in war, 196, 198-199, 201-202

Nicaragua, bombardment of Greytown, 50; intervention in, 55

Official Bulletin, 198
Oregon question, 86
Otis, E. S., Major General, member
of Philippine Commission, 157
Overman Act, 173-181, 231, 262

Pacificus letter, on neutrality, 44
Palmer, A. Mitchell, Alien Property
Custodian, 213; Attorney General,
opinion on power to terminate
war, 236

Panama, relations with, 41, 49, 51, 53 Panama Canal Zone, 180, 186, 253 Panama Congress, 27-30 Pardon, power of, 141, 148-151

Pardon, power of, 141, 148-151
Peace, power of Congress to declare,
224-231

Peace commissioners, appointment of, 237-239; senators as, 240-242 Peace negotiations, control of, 237, 242-246

Peace resolutions, 225, 226, 227, 230 Peace treaty, 223-225, 228-231 Pershing, John J., General, 126, 127 Phelps, John S., military governor of Arkansas, 158

Philippine Commission, 156, 157, 260, 261

Philippines, government of, 154, 156, 159, 160, 260-262; acquisition of, 234, 243

Pierce, Franklin, President, defends bombardment of Greytown, 50

Platt, O. H., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 242

Platt Amendment, 259

Poindexter, Miles, Senator, on termination of war, 224, 226

Police supervision, 53-57

Polk, James K., President, enforcement of neutrality, 45; inchoate interest in Texas, 47-48; war influence, 70-72, 86-88; proclamation of war, 97; direction of military operations, 120, 126; exercise of pardon, 149; military government, 154, 157, 160, 268; blockade, 209; appointment of peace commissioners, 238-239; consultation with Senate, 244; powers of reconstruction, 254-256
Pomeroy, J. N., on use of militia,

134
Porter resolution, for termination of

war, 226

Porto Rico, government of, 156, 109, 258; acquisition of, 234

Posse comitatus, use of army as, 43 Postmaster General, control of wire services, 180, 219; censorship by, 195, 196, 201-202

Preliminary protocol, 232, 234, 236 President, source of war powers, 20; see also names of Presidents, and passim

Press, control of in war, 182, 192-202 Price control, 179, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 210

Proclamations, as forms of presidenial action, 20; of state of war, 97-98; of amnesty, 149-150; fixing registration days, 168; announcing enemy alien regulations, 185-186; suspending habeas corpus, 190; establishing food and fuel regulations, 205-206, 207; announcing termination of war, 247-248

Prohibition, 206, 236
Property, control of, 182, 203, 212-214

Protocol, preliminary, 232, 234, 236 Provost Marshal General, 168, 170, 180

Public Health Service, 177, 180 Punitive expeditions, 65-67

Radio control, 218-219 Railroads, control of, 172, 208, 214-217, 262

Railroads War Board, 214
Railway Control Act, 171, 215, 231, 262, 269

Ratification, power of, 246-248 Rayner, Isador, Senator, theory of

executive power, 13
Recognition, power of, 31-34, 35
Reed, James A., Senator, opposes

Overman Act, 174
Registration, under Selective Service
Act, 168

Regular Army, 105, 113, 122 Reid, Whitelaw, peace commissioner, 240

Reprieves, power of granting, 148 Requisition, power to, 203, 204, 206, 210, 211, 217, 218

Revolutionary War, dictatorship in, 18, 203; conscription in, 105; direction of, 269

Rockhill, W. W., special commissioner to China, 40, 239

Roosevelt, Theodore, President, the ory of executive power, 12-13; relations with Santo Domingo, 41-42, 49, 54; intervention in Panama, 49; command in war with Germany, 105, 130

Root, Elihu, on nature of war powers, 16, 250; Secretary of War, 259, 260

Root-Takahira agreement, 41 Rush, Richard, Acting Secretary of State, 38

Russell, Jonathan, chargé d' af-

faires, 233; peace commissioner, 238

Russia, recognition of Omsk government, 32; use of troops in, 123

Sanford, Edward S., military supervisor of telegraphic messages, 196 San Juan (Nicaragua), city of, bombardment of, 50

San Juan, island of, executive agreement concerning, 39

Santo Domingo, executive agreement with, 42; inchoate interest in, 48; intervention in, 54, 56

Schouler, James, on power to make peace, 230, 237

Schurman, Jacob G., member of Philippine Commission, 157

Schurz, Carl, Senator, on inchoate interest in Santo Domingo, 48
Scott, W. S., General, 126, 143, 190
Secretary of Agriculture, 171, 210
Secretary of Commerce, 171, 176,

Secretary of the Interior, 180 Secretary of Labor, 180, 213

210

Secretary of the Navy, 38, 56, 142, 176, 180, 197, 198, 200, 201

Secretary of State, 12, 40, 41, 48, 51, 66, 69, 87, 89, 171, 191, 195, 196, 197, 198, 210, 234, 239, 240, 255

Secretary of the Treasury, 112, 113, 172, 180, 209, 210, 215, 238, 253

Secretary of War, 41, 56, 62, 108, 109, 123, 125, 132, 142, 156, 159, 180, 191, 197, 198, 200, 201, 253, 255, 259, 260, 261

Sedition Act, of 1798, 187, 188, 193, 194

Selective Service Act, 105, 107, 113, 126, 127, 129, 168, 169

Seminole War, of 1818, 65-66, 108, 132, 133

Senate, on power of recognition, 32, 34; power regarding executive agreements, 37; treaty-making

power, 47, 228-230, 231, 232, 244-246; filibuster in, 69; power regarding appointment and removal of officers, 126-129; on fuel order, 207; confirmation of peace commissioners, 237-239; on senators as treaty negotiators, 240-242

Sequestration, 212

Seward, W. H., Secretary of State, censorship by, 195

Shepley, George F., military governor of Louisiana, 158, 161

Sherman, L. Y., Senator, resolution on use of troops abroad, 57

Shields, John K., Senator, on War Cabinet, 173

Shipping, control of, 217-218 Shipping Board, 171, 172, 180, 210,

Siberian expedition, 123, 124, 125 Signal Corps, reorganization of, 177-

Silesia, use of troops in, 56 Smith, Hoke, Senator, opposes Over-

man Act, 174
South, blockade of, 74, 125, 209;
military government of, 154, 155,

military government of, 154, 155, 157, 158, 161, 162, 163-164; reconstruction of, 251

Spain, war with, beginning of, 91-92; declaration of, 95, 98, 104, 226; organization of forces in, 113; blockade, 125, 209; use of militia in, 134, peace negotiations, 234, 243; termination of, 248; acquisition of territories, 258

Speed, James, Attorney General, opinion on military commissions, 144

Spooner, John C., Senator, on conduct of foreign relations, 25, 245, 247; on powers of command, 117

Sprigg resolution, negative declaration of war, 83

Stager, Anson, military superintendent of telegraphs, 218 Standards, Bureau of, 180

Stanly, Edward, military governor of North Carolina, 158

Stanton, Edwin M., Secretary of War, on military occupation of South, 156

State, department of, peculiar status of, 25; see also Secretary of State

Sterling, Thomas, Senator, on power to make peace, 230

Stockton, Commodore, military governor of California, 154, 158, 161 Stone, William J., Senator, on arm-

ing of merchant vessels, 69

Story, Joseph, Justice, on power of recognition, 34; on power to make peace, 229

Sumner, Charles, Senator, on nature of war powers, 15, 16, 17-18; on inchoate interest in Santo Domingo, 48; hostility towards Great Britain, 89

Supreme Court, on executive power, 13; on nature of war powers, 16-17, 19; on power to begin war, 60; on wars of conquest, 223; on power to terminate war, 236; see also Table of Cases

Taft, W. H., theory of executive power, 14; on formulation of foreign policy, 26; on power to make peace, 230; Secretary of War, executive agreement with Panama, 41; member of Philippine Commission, 260; governor of Philippines, 261; President, intervention in Caribbean Zone, 55

Taney, Roger B., Chief-Justice, dissent in Prize Cases, 75; on suspension of habeas corpus, 192

Taylor, Hannis, on use of troops abroad, 122

Taylor, Zachary, General, 48, 70, 71, 87, 126; President, powers of reconstruction, 256 Telegraph and telephone, control of, 180, 185, 196, 200, 214, 218-219 Teller, Henry M., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 242

Termination of war, 223-231, 232, 235-236, 247-248

Texas, annexation of, 31, 47; recognition of, 33; inchoate interest in, 47-48

Thayer, H. E., censor in Civil War,

Tillman, B. R., Senator, on senators as treaty negotiators, 241

Trade, control of, 208-210

Trading with the Enemy Act, 171, 172, 200, 201, 209, 210, 212, 230, 269

Transportation, control of, 214-218 Trau incident, 56

Treaty of peace, as method of terminating war, 223-224, 228-229, 231, 232; negotiation of, 237, 242-246

Tripoli, war with, 63

Trist, Nicholas, peace commissioner, 238, 239

Troops, reciprocal passage of, 40-41; use of in aid of civil power, 43-44; see also Army

Turkey, diplomatic relations with, 36, 93

Tyler, John, President, inchoate interest in Texas, 47, 48

Upshur, A. P., Secretary of State, on executive power, 12

Van Buren, Martin, Senator, on Panama Congress, 28, 39 Venezuela affair, 30, 89-90 Vera Cruz, occupation of, 52 Versailles, treaty of, 246 Virginius incident, 30, 41 Voluntary enlistment, 101-105

Wadsworth, James, Senator, on control of administration, 172 Wage commission, 263

War, articles of, 138, 141; declaraation of, 46, 58-64, 71-76, 78-80, 82-98, 104, 226, 266; notification of, 96-97; termination of, 223-231, 232, 235-236, 247-248; see also Austria-Hungary, Civil War, Germany, Mexico, Revolutionary War, Seminole War, Spain, Tripoli, and passim

War of 1812, beginning of, 85-86; declaration of, 95, 226; militia in, 132, 133, 136; control of commerce during, 208; armistice proposal, 233; termination of, 247

War Cabinet, 172-173, 177

War Industries Board, 172, 178, 179, 210, 211-212

War Risk Insurance Bureau, 172, 176
War Trade Board, 171, 179, 201, 210
Washington, George, General, as dictator, 18, 203; President, power of defense, 62; army organization, 111; exercise of personal command 120, 135; exercise of pardon, 143; Lieutenant General, 103

Whiskey Rebellion, 135, 149
White, Henry, peace commissioner,

Whiting, W., on defensive war, 59; on civil rights in war, 183

239

Wickersham, George W., Attorney General, opinion on use of militia, 133, 134; on power to make peace, 230

Willard, Daniel, direction of railroad operation, 215

Willoughby, W. W., on executive power, 14; on declaration in civil war, 76; on powers of reconstruction, 251

Wilson, Woodrow, on executive power, 12; on formulation of foreign policy, 26; President, relations with Mexico, 30, 35, 52, 67; severs relations with Germany, 36; arming of merchant vessels, 68, 69,

70; war influence, 92-93; proclamation of war, 97; conscription, 105, 108, 169; direction of military operations, 120, 123, 125; refusal to appoint Roosevelt to command, 130; court-martial procedure, 141, 142; exercise of pardon, 151; control of war administration, 170, 171, 177, 178, 268; opposes War Cabinet, 173; exercise of questionable authority, 175; control of aliens, 185-186; censorship, 197, 200, 201; control of economic resources, 203, 204, 205, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 215, 216, 217, 219; termination of war, 226, 230, 236; 234; demobilization, armistice. 235; peace negotiations, 239, 240, 242, 243, 244, 246; powers of reconstruction, 208, 262, 268

Wilson-Roosevelt doctrine, 12-13 Wood, Leonard, Major General, 43, 130, 159, 259 Woolsey, T. S., on breaking of diplomatic relations, 36; on declaration of war, 58 Worcester, Dean C., member of Philippine Commission, 157, 260 World War, administrative authority of President in, 170; censorship during, 197, 202, necessity for economic control, 203, 204; war powers in, 268, 269; see also Austria-Hungary, Germany Wright, Luke E., member of Philippine Commission, 260; vicegovernor, 261

X Y Z correspondence, 81, 82