THE DOCTRINE OF NON-SUABILITY OF THE STATE IN THE UNITED STATES

by Karl Singewald, Ph.D.

William S. Hein & Co., Inc. Buffalo, New York 2004

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Singewald, Karl, b. 1886

The doctrine of non-suability of the state in the United States / by Karl Singewald.

p. cm.

Originally published: Baltimore: J. Hopkins Press, 1910. (Johns Hopkins University studies in historical and political science; 28th ser., 3)

ISBN 1-57588-820-3 (alk. paper)

- 1. Privileges and immunities--United States. 2. Administrative responsibility--United States. 3. Government liability--United States.
- 4. Parties to actions--United States. I. Title. II. Johns Hopkins University studies in historical and political science; 28th ser., 3.

H31 .J6 ser. 28, no. 3 [KF1321] 900 s--dc22 [342.7308

2004040640

This acid-free reprint was digitally archived under the strict quality control guidelines established by William S. Hein & Co., Inc.'s "Preservation Program" This program was established to preserve the integrity of legal classics for future generations of legal researchers.

This paper meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).



Printed in the United States of America.

THE DOCTRINE OF NON-SUABILITY OF THE STATE IN THE UNITED STATES

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY STUDIES IN HISTORICAL AND POLITICAL SCIENCE

Under the Direction of the

Departments of History, Political Economy, and Political Science

THE DOCTRINE OF NON-SUABILITY OF THE STATE IN THE UNITED STATES

BY

KARL SINGEWALD, PH.D. Fellow in Political Science John Hopkins University

BALTIMORE
THE JOHNS HOPKINS PRESS
1910

COPYRIGHT 1910 BY
THE JOHNS HOPKINS PRESS

PRESS OF THE NEW ERA PRINTING COMPANY LANCASTER, PA.

PREFACE.

This paper is a study of the questions of public law involved in the doctrine of immunity of the state from suit, and especially of the relation of this doctrine to suits against public officers. It does not include a consideration of the extent to which suits against themselves are allowed by the United States and by the several States, nor of the principles of law governing cases brought under such permission. The determination of the philosophical basis of the responsibility of the state, also, does not fall within the scope of this paper.

The study is based mainly on the cases decided by the supreme court of the United States, both because of the greater importance of those cases, and because the supreme court is the only tribunal before which has come any considerable number or variety of suits of this class. If the criticism of some of the decisions seems too free, I trust it will be pardoned; for it is not inconsistent with the most profound respect for the court.

For convenience, the use of the word state in the generic sense, and the use of the word State as applied to the States of the United States, will be distinguished by capitalizing the latter. Wherever the term supreme court is used without other designation, reference is had to the supreme court of the United States.

I gladly embrace this opportunity of expressing my grateful obligation to Professor Willoughby, head of the department of political science at the Johns Hopkins University. It is to his broad grasp and clear exposition of constitutional law that I owe my great interest in the subject.

K. S.

June, 1910.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PART I.

STITTS	AGAINST	тне	STATE

CHAP. I. THE GENERAL DOCTRINE	9
Its foundation	9
In international law	12
CHAP. II. THE DOCTRINE IN THE UNITED STATES. UNDER	
THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION	15
CHAP. III. PRINCIPLES OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED	•
STATES GOVERNING SUITS AGAINST STATES	27
The eleventh amendment and suits between States	27
Consent of State and jurisdiction of federal courts	29
Restriction of consent to State courts	35
Withdrawal of consent and impairment of the obligation	
of contracts	36
CHAP. IV. Scope of the Doctrine of Non-suability-Forms	
OF ACTION	38
Actions that are suits against the state	38
Actions that are not suits against the state	42
DADO II	
PART II.	
Suits against Public Officers.	
CHAP. I. THE PRINCIPLE OF LIABILITY IN TORT	45
CHAP. II. INJUNCTION AGAINST TORT	47
CHAP. III. RECOVERY OF PROPERTY IN THE POSSESSION OF	
Public Officers	54
CHAP. IV. MANDAMUS AND ANALOGOUS REMEDY IN EQUITY.	67
CHAP. V. EXTENSION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF EQUITABLE RE-	
LIEF AGAINST WRONGFUL ACTS	I
CHAP. VI. Ex Parte Young	90
CHAP. VII. FEDERAL QUESTION-WHEN INVOLVED IN SUITS	
	105
CHAP. VIII. THE RELATION OF THE STATE TO SUITS AGAINST	
ITS OFFICERS	111

TABLE OF CASES.

Adams, Ill. Cent. R. R. Co. v., 31, 115 Alabama, Postal Tel. Co. v., 17, 29, 33, 115 Alabama, So. & No. Ala. R. R. Co. v., 31, 36 Alexander, Buchanan v., 38 Alsbrook, R. R. Co. v., 110 Ames, Smyth v., 30, 91, 94 seq., 115 Antoni v. Greenhow, 36, 76, 78, 79 Arbuckle v. Blackburn, 108 Arkansas, Beers v., 31, 36, 44 Arkansas, Curran v., 31 Arkansas, Pres. & Dir. etc. v., 43 Atl. & N. C. R. R. Co., Christian v., 40, 59 Atl. Coast Line R. R. Co., Gunter v., 34, 41, 49, 89, 94, 101, 102 Atl. Coast Line R. R. Co., Prentis v., 103 Avery, Humbird v., 85 Ayers, ex parte, 37, 77, 78, 81, 83, 87, 96 seq., 116
Bank of U. S. v. Planters' Bank of Ga., 42
Bank, Osborn v., 21, 47 seq., 54, 57, 60, 81, 83, 111, 117
Barnard, Clark v., 32
Barnard, Clark v., 32 Barney v. New York, 95, 106 seq. Barrow, Shield v., 40 Beers v. Arkansas, 31, 36, 44 Belknap v. Schild, 50 seq., 111, 117 Blackburn, Arbuckle v., 108 Board of Liq. v. McComb, 67, 69 seq., 88 Bouldin v. State, 44 Briggs v. Light-boats, 10, 38 Brown v. Hitchcock, 85 Brown v. Huger, 62 Bruce, Intern'l Postal Supply Co. v., 52 Buchanan v. Alexander, 38 Budd v. Houston, 86

Burke, State ex rel. Hart v., 74, Burke, State ex rel. Newman v., 75
Carr v. U. S., 16, 44, 62, 64, 112
Carpenter, State B'd. of Land
Com'rs. v., 84
Case v. Terrell, 40, 44
Chandler v. Dix, 36, 65, 89, 114
Cherokee Nation v. Ga., 17
Chisholm v. Ga. 15 seq. Chisholm v. Ga., 15 seq. Christian v. Atl. & N. C. R. R. Co., 40, 59 Civil Rights Cases, 108 Clark v. Barnard, 32 Clemson Agr. College, Hopkins v., 53 Cohens v. Va., 16, 21, 42 Colorado, Kansas v., 11 Cotting v. Godard, 94, 115 Crain, General Oil Co. v., 32, 108 seq. Crittenden, Rolston v., 68, 76 Cunningham v. M. & B. R. R. Co., 40, 51, 57, 65, 68, 72, 79, 81, 112, 113 Curran v. Arkansas, 31 Dashiell v. Grosvenor, 52 Davis v. Gray, 77, 81, 82 seq., 112 Davis & F. Mfg. Co. v. Los Angeles, 94, 95, 100 Debs, In re, 41 De Groot v. U. S., 44 Dennison, Ky. v., 20 Dietzsch v. Huidekoper, 93
Dix, Chandler v., 36, 65, 89, 114
Dockery, Mo. v., 108
Dodge v. Woolsey, 49
Eckford's Ex'trs, U. S. v., 43 Ex parte Ayers, 37, 77, 78, 81, 83, 87, 96 seq., 116 Ex parte Virginia, 107 Ex parte Young, 24, 31, 52, 90 seq., 105, 116, 117 Fargo v. Hart, 87, 108

Farmers' Loan & Trust Co., Reagan v., 30, 41, 94 seq., 108 Ferguson v. Ross, 42 Fitts v. McGhee, 95, 96 seq., 103 Florida v. Ga., 20, 25, 111 General Oil Co. v. Crain, 32, 108 Georgia, Cherokee Nation v., 17 Georgia, Chisholm v., 15 seq. Georgia, Fla. v., 20, 25 Georgia v. Stanton, 82 Georgia v. Tenn. Copper Co., 24 Gibson, Weyler v., 65 Godard, Cotting v., 94, 115 Governor of Ga. v. Madrazo, 23, 54, 112 Gratiot v. U. S., 43 Gray, Davis v., 77, 81, 82 seq., 112 Grayson v. Va., 20 Greenhow, Antoni v., 36, 76, 78, Greenhow, Poindexter v., 22, 36, 49, 54, 112 Grisar v. McDowell, 62 Grosvenor, Dashiell v., 52 Gunter v. Atl. Coast Line R. R. Co., 34, 41, 49, 89, 94, 101, 102 Guthrie, U. S. v., 73 Hagood v. Southern, 51, 78, 80 Hall v. Wisc., 31 Hammond, Mich. State Bank v., 58, 65 Hans v. La., 18, 22 Hart, Fargo v., 87, 108 Hill v. U. S., 44 Hitchcock, Brown v., 85 Hitchcock, Minn. v., 29, 41, 85, Hitchcock, Neganab v., 87 Hitchcock, Oregon v., 85 Hollingsworth v. Va., 19 Hopkins v. Clemson Agric. College, 53 Houston, Budd v., 86 Huger, Brown v., 62 Huidekoper, Dietzsch v., 93 Humbird v. Avery, 85 Humphrey v. Pegues, 34, 41 Hunter v. Wood, 101 Illinois Central R. R. Co. v. Adams, 31, 115 Illinois Central R. R. Co., Miss. R. R. Com'n v., 93

Illinois, Missouri v., 11 In re Debs, 41 In re Tyler, 50, 87 Intercolonial Railway, Mason v., International Postal Supply Co. v. Bruce, 52 Jackson, Wilcox v., 61 Johnson, Miss. v., 82 Jumel, La. v., 37, 51, 57, 68, 69 seq., 87, 112, 117 Kansas v. Colorado, 11 Kansas v. U. S., 26 Kawanakoa v. Polybank, 10, 21 Kentucky v. Dennison, 20 Klein, U. S. v., 44 Jackson, Wilcox v., 61 Lee, U. S. v., 10, 22, 44, 51, 56, 59 seq., 111, 112, 114, 117 Lightboats, Briggs v., 10, 38 Lord, Salem Flouring Mills Co. v., 53 Los Angeles, D. & F. Mf'g. Co. v., 94, 95, 100 Louisiana, Hans v., 18, 22 Louisiana v. Jumel, 37, 51, 57, 68, 69 seq., 87, 112, 117 Louisiana, N. H. v., 23, 27, 113 Louisiana v. Steele, 80 Louisiana v. Texas, 108 Lowry v. Thompson, 59, 115 McClung, Meigs v., 61 McComb, Board of Liq. v., 67, 69 seq., 88 McConnaughy, Pennoyer v., 77, 79, 84 McDaniel, U. S. v., 43 McDowell, Grisar v., 62 McGahey v. Va., 50, 96 McGhee, Fitts v., 95, 96 seq., 103 McLemore, U. S. v., 43 McNeill v. So. Pac. Ry. Co., 93 Macon & B. R. R. Co., Cunningham v., 40, 51, 57, 65, 68, 72, 79, 81, 112, 113 Madrazo, Gov. of Ga. v., 23, 54, Marye v. Parsons, 96 Maryland, Van Stophorst v., 16 Mason v. Intercolonial Ry., 13 Massachusetts, R. I. v., 20 Meigs v. McClung, 61

Michigan Land & Lumber Co. v. Rust, 85
Michigan State Bank v. Hammond, 58, 65
Michigan, U. S. v., 25
Minnesota v. Hitchcock, 29, 41, 85, 115 Mississippi v. Johnson, 82 Mississippi R. R. Com'n. v. Ill. Cent. R. R. Co., 93 Missouri v. Dockery, 108 Missouri v. Illinois, 11 Missouri, K. & T. R. R. Co. v. Mo. R. R. & W. Com'n., 41 Murray v. Wilson Distilling Co., 59 Nathan v. Va., 38 Neganab v. Hitchcock, 87 New Hampshire v. La., 23, 27, New York, Barney v., 95, 106 seq. New York, N. J. v., 20 New York, Oswald, Adm'r. v., 16 Noble v. Union R. Logging R. R. Co., 86 North Carolina, S. D. v., 24, 25, 26, 28 North Carolina v. Temple, 22 North Carolina, U. S. v., 25 Oaks, Phelps v., 33, 35 Oregon v. Hitckcock, 85
Osborn v. Bank, 21, 47 seq.,
54, 57, 60, 81, 83, 111, 117
Oswald, Adm'r. v. N. Y., 16
Parsons Marya v. 66 Parsons, Marye v., 96
Pegues, Humphrey v., 34, 41
Pelican Ins. Co., Wisc. v., 17, 20
Pennoyer v. McConnaughy, 77, 79, 84 Pennsylvania v. Wheeling Bridge Co., 20 Peters, U. S. v., 55, 60 Phelps v. Oaks, 33, 35 Pitcock v. State, 68, 88 Planters' B'k of Ga., B'k of U. S. v., 42 Poindexter v. Greenhow, 22, 36, 49, 54, 112 Polybank, Kawanakoa v., 10, 21 Postal Tel. Co. v. Ala., 17, 29, 33, 115

Prentis v. Atl. Coast Line R. R. Co., 103 President & Dir'trs, etc. v. Ark., Preston v. Walsh, 84 Prout v. Starr, 89, 90, 92, 115 Railroad Com'n. v. T. & A. R. R. Co., ior Railroad Co. v. Alsbrook, 110 Reagan v. Farmers' L. & T. Co., 30, 41, 94 seq., 108 Reeside v. Walker, 43 Reeves, Smith v., 23, 35, 40, 96 Rhode Island v. Mass., 20 Ringgold, U. S. v., 43 Rives, Va. v., 107 Rolston v. Crittenden, 68, 76 Ross, Ferguson v., 42 Rust, Mich. Land & Lumber Co. v., 85 Salem Flouring Mills Co. v. Lord, 53 Schild, Belknap v., 50 seq., 111, Schwalby, Stanley v., 16, 44, 65, III Shield v. Barrow, 40 Smith v. Reeves, 23, 35, 40, 96 Smith, Warner Valley Stock Co. v., 89 Smyth v. Ames, 30, 91, 94 seq., 115 South and No. Ala. R. R. Co. v. Alá., 31, 36 South Carolina v. U. S., 103 South Carolina v. Wesley, 111 South Dakota v. N. C., 24, 25, 26, 28 Southern, Hagood v., 51, 78, 80 Southern Pac. Ry. Co., McNeill Southern Ry. Co., State v., 101 Stanley v. Schwalby, 16, 44, 65, III Stanton, Ga. v., 82 Starr, Prout v., 89, 90, 92, 115 State Board of Land Com'rs. v. Carpenter, 84 State, Bouldin v., 44 State ex rel Hart v. Burke, 74, 75

State ex rel Newman v. Burke, 75 State, Pitcock v., 68, 88 State v. Southern Ry. Co., 101 Steamship Scotia, Young v., 13, 39 Steele, La. v., 80 Tampa & A. R. R. Co., R. R. Com'n. v., 101 Temple, N. C. v., 22 Tennessee Copper Co., Ga. v., 24
Tennessee, M. & C. R. R. Co. v., 31, 36
Terrell, Case v., 40, 44
Texas, La. v., 108
Texas, U. S. v., 23, 25
The Charkieh 12 The Charkieh, 12 The Davis, 12, 38, 42, 62 The Exchange, 12, 60 The Fidelity, 13 The Jassy, 13 The Parlement Belge, 11, 13 The Siren, 11, 43 The St. Jago de Cuba, 43 Thompson, Lowry v., 59, 115 Tindal v. Wesley, 65 Trapnall, Woodruff v., 69 Tyler, In re, 50, 87 Union R. Logging R. R. Co., Noble v., 86 United States, Carr v., 16, 44, 62, 64, 112 United States, De Groot v., 44 United States v. Eckford's Ext'rs, 43 United States, Gratiot v., 43 United States v. Guthrie, 73 United States, Hill v., 44 United States, Kansas v., 26 United States v. Klein, 44

United States v. Lee, 10, 22, 44, 51, 56, 59 seq., 111, 112, 114, 117
United States v. McDaniel, 43
United States v. McLemore, 43
United States v. Mich., 25
United States v. N. C., 25
United States v. Peters, 55, 60
United States v. Ringgold, 43
United States v. Ringgold, 43
United States, S. C. v., 103
United States v. Texas, 23, 25
United States v. Wilder, 13, 38, 42
Van Stophorst v. Md., 16
Virginia, Cohens v., 16, 21, 42
Virginia, Ex parte, 107
Virginia, Grayson v., 20
Virginia, McGahey v., 50, 96
Virginia, McGahey v., 50, 96
Virginia, Nathan v., 38
Virginia v. Rives, 107
Walker, Reeside v., 43
Walsh, Preston v., 84
Warner Valley Stock Co. v. Smith, 89
Wesley, S. C. v., 111
Wesley, Tindal v., 65
Weyler v. Gibson, 65
Wheeling Bridge Co., Pa. v., 20
Wilcox v. Jackson, 61
Wilder, U. S. v., 13, 38, 42
Wilson Distilling Co., Murray v., 59
Wisconsin, Hall v., 31
Wisconsin v. Pelican Ins. Co., 17, 20
Wood, Hunter v., 101
Woodruff v. Trapnall, 69
Woolsey, Dodge v., 49
Young, Ex parte, 24, 31, 52, 90
seq., 105, 116, 117
Young v. S. S. Scotia, 13, 39